

**Endangered Species Act
Section 7(a)(2) Consultation**

Endangered Species Act – Section 7 Consultation Biological Opinion

**Consultation on Remand for Operation of the Columbia River Power System
and 19 Bureau of Reclamation Projects in the Columbia Basin
(Revised and reissued pursuant to court order,
NWF v. NMFS, Civ. No. CV 01-640-RE (D. Oregon))**

Action Agencies: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
 Bonneville Power Administration
 U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Consultation Conducted by: NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service
 (NOAA Fisheries)
 Northwest Region

NOAA Fisheries Log Number: F/NWR/2004/00727

Date: November 30, 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 OBJECTIVES	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.2 Application of ESA Section 7(a)(2) Standards – Jeopardy Analysis Framework ...	1-5
1.2.1 Step 1: Evaluate Current Status with Respect to Range-wide Biological Requirements and Essential Features of Critical Habitat	1-6
1.2.2 Step 2: Evaluate Relevance of the Environmental Baseline in the Action Area to Biological Requirements and the Current Status of the Species and Any Designated Critical Habitat	1-7
1.2.3 Step 3: Describe the Effects of the Proposed Action	1-10
1.2.4 Step 4: Describe Cumulative Effects.....	1-11
1.2.5 Step 5: Conclusion.....	1-12
2.0 BACKGROUND	2-1
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.1.1 2000 FCRPS Biological Opinion	2-1
2.1.2 National Wildlife Federation v. NMFS Remand Order	2-1
2.1.3 Hatchery Listing Policy and Status Reviews	2-2
2.1.4 Redesignation of Critical Habitat.....	2-3
2.2 Current Consultation	2-3
2.3 Meetings with State and Tribal Representatives	2-4
2.4 Collaboration with Comanagers	2-5
2.5.1 Status of Recovery Planning	2-6
3.0 PROPOSED ACTION	3-1
3.1 Term of this Biological Opinion	3-1
4.0 RANGE-WIDE STATUS OF THE LISTED SPECIES.....	4-1
4.1 Introduction	4-1
4.2 Listed Species Affected by the Proposed Action	4-1
4.3 Current Range-wide Status of Listed Species Affected by the Proposed Action ...	4-3
4.3.1 SR Spring/summer Chinook Salmon	4-3
4.3.2 SR Fall Chinook Salmon.....	4-5
4.3.3 UCR Spring Chinook Salmon	4-7
4.3.4 UWR Chinook Salmon.....	4-8
4.3.5 LCR Chinook Salmon	4-10
4.3.6 SR Steelhead.....	4-12
4.3.7 UCR Steelhead	4-14
4.3.8 MCR Steelhead.....	4-16
4.3.9 UWR Steelhead	4-18
4.3.10 LCR Steelhead.....	4-19
4.3.11 CR Chum Salmon.....	4-20
4.3.12 SR Sockeye Salmon	4-22
4.3.13 LCR Coho Salmon	4-23

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE.....	5-1
5.1 Overview	5-1
5.1.1 Action Area	5-2
5.1.2 Biological Requirements and Essential Habitat Features within the Action Area	5-3
5.2 Summary of the Environmental Baseline.....	5-5
5.2.1 Reference Operations at Mainstem FCRPS Projects	5-5
5.2.2 Effects of the Existence of the FCRPS and Non-discretionary Operations	5-18
5.2.3 Indirect Effects of Hydrosystem Mortality on Nutrients in Tributaries..	5-32
5.3 Non-Hydro Elements of the Baseline.....	5-34
5.3.1 Predation.....	5-34
5.3.2 Environmental Condition of the Lower Columbia River and Estuary ...	5-37
5.3.3 Mainstem Environmental Baseline	5-41
5.3.4 Tributary Baseline	5-44
5.3.5 Artificial Propagation Programs.....	5-45
5.3.6 Harvest.....	5-46
5.3.7 Population Response to Environmental Variation	5-50
5.4 Factors Affecting the Species' Environment in the Action Area.....	5-52
5.4.1 Snake River Spring/Summer Chinook Salmon	5-52
5.4.2 Snake River Fall Chinook Salmon	5-60
5.4.3 Upper Columbia River Spring Chinook Salmon.....	5-66
5.4.4 Upper Willamette Chinook Salmon	5-72
5.4.5 Lower Columbia River Chinook Salmon.....	5-73
5.4.6 Snake River Steelhead.....	5-75
5.4.7 Upper Columbia River Steelhead.....	5-80
5.4.8 Mid-Columbia River Steelhead.....	5-84
5.4.9 Upper Willamette Steelhead.....	5-93
5.4.10 Lower Columbia River Steelhead	5-95
5.4.11 Columbia River Chum Salmon	5-96
5.4.12 Snake River Sockeye Salmon.....	5-98
5.4.13 Lower Columbia River Coho Salmon	5-99
5.5 Adequacy of Conditions in Designated Critical Habitat	5-100
6.0 EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION	6-1
6.1 Introduction and Methods	6-1
6.1.1 Methods for Evaluating Proposed Hydropower Operations and Configuration Changes	6-2
6.1.2 Methods for Evaluating Proposed Non-hydro Actions	6-4
6.1.3 Methods for Determining Net Effects of FCRPS and Non-hydro Actions.....	6-5
6.2 Results Common to Multiple ESUs	6-9
6.2.1 Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations on Mainstem Habitat Conditions, Including in the Estuary and Plume	6-9
6.2.2 Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations on Juvenile and Adult Mainstem Reach Survival	6-19

6.2.3	Performance Standards, Annual Reports, and Comprehensive Evaluations	6-26
6.3	Snake River Spring/Summer Chinook Salmon	6-57
6.3.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-57
6.3.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-62
6.3.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-68
6.4	Snake River Fall Chinook Salmon	6-77
6.4.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-77
6.4.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-84
6.4.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-88
6.5	Upper Columbia River Spring Chinook Salmon.....	6-91
6.5.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-91
6.5.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-93
6.5.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-96
6.6	Upper Willamette Chinook Salmon	6-97
6.6.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-97
6.6.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-98
6.6.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-99
6.7	Lower Columbia River Chinook Salmon	6-99
6.7.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-99
6.7.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-101
6.7.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-103
6.8	Snake River Steelhead.....	6-104
6.8.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-104
6.8.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-107
6.8.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-108
6.9	Upper Columbia River Steelhead.....	6-109
6.9.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-109
6.9.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-111
6.9.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-115
6.10	Mid-Columbia River Steelhead.....	6-116
6.10.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-116
6.10.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-119
6.10.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-123
6.11	Upper Willamette Steelhead.....	6-123
6.11.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-123
6.11.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-124
6.11.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-125
6.12	Lower Columbia River Steelhead	6-125
6.12.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-125
6.12.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-127
6.12.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-128
6.13	Columbia River Chum Salmon	6-129
6.13.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-129
6.13.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-131
6.13.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-132

6.14	Snake River Sockeye Salmon.....	6-132
6.14.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-132
6.14.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-134
6.14.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-136
6.15	Lower Columbia Coho Salmon.....	6-137
6.15.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-137
6.15.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-139
6.15.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-140
7.0	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	7-1
7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.2	Cumulative Effects Investigation	7-2
7.3	Cumulative Effects	7-4
7.3.1	Mid-Columbia River Steelhead.....	7-4
7.3.2	Upper Columbia Steelhead and Spring/Summer Chinook.....	7-7
7.3.3	Snake River Spring/Summer Chinook, Steelhead and Sockeye	7-9
7.3.4	Snake River Fall Chinook	7-11
7.3.5	Lower Columbia River Coho and Upper Willamette River Steelhead	7-11
7.3.6	State Managed Recreational Fisheries	7-12
8.0	CONCLUSIONS.....	8-1
8.1	Approach	8-1
8.1.1	Jeopardy Analysis.....	8-1
8.1.2	Analysis of Adverse Modification of Critical Habitat	8-3
8.1.3	Summary of Conclusions for All ESUs	8-4
8.1.4	Supplemental Consultations for USBR Projects in Occupied Habitat.....	8-4
8.2	SR Spring/summer Chinook Salmon	8-5
8.3	SR Fall Chinook Salmon.....	8-8
8.4	UCR Spring Chinook Salmon	8-14
8.5	UWR Chinook Salmon.....	8-16
8.6	LCR Chinook Salmon	8-18
8.7	SR Steelhead.....	8-20
8.8	UCR Steelhead	8-23
8.9	MCR Steelhead.....	8-25
8.10	UWR Steelhead	8-28
8.11	LCR Steelhead.....	8-29
8.12	CR Chum Salmon.....	8-31
8.13	SR Sockeye Salmon	8-33
8.14	LCR Coho Salmon	8-36
9.0	CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS.....	9-1
9.1	Introduction	9-1
9.2	Subbasin Planning Infrastructure	9-1
9.3	Snake River Sockeye Salmon.....	9-1

10.0 INCIDENTAL TAKE STATEMENT	10-1
10.1 Introduction	10-1
10.2 Amount or Extent of Anticipated Take	10-1
10.2.1 Amount of Take.....	10-1
10.2.2 Hydro Juvenile Survival Performance Standard	10-7
10.2.3 Incidental Take Associated with Non-hydro Activities	10-7
10.3 Effect of the Take	10-8
10.4 Reasonable and Prudent Measures	10-8
10.4.1 Monitor Incidental Take.....	10-8
10.4.2 Reduce Incidental Take by Improving Juvenile and Adult Passage Survival	10-8
10.5 Terms and Conditions	10-8
10.5.1 Terms and Conditions Related to Monitoring Take	10-8
10.5.2 Terms and Conditions Related to Improving Juvenile and Adult Passage	10-10
11.0 MAGNUSON-STEVENS FISHERY CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT	
ACT	11-1
11.1 Background	11-1
11.2 Identification of EFH.....	11-2
11.3 Proposed Action	11-3
11.4 Effects of Proposed Action.....	11-3
11.4.1 Effects on Mainstem Habitat Conditions, Including the Estuary and Plume.....	11-4
11.4.2 Effects of Habitat Restoration Activities on Tributary and Estuarine Conditions	11-6
11.4.3 Effects of Predator Control on EFH	11-7
11.5 Conclusion.....	11-7
11.6 EFH Conservation Recommendations	11-8
11.7 Statutory Response Requirement	11-8
11.8 Supplemental Consultation.....	11-8
12.0 REINITIATION OF CONSULTATION	12-1
12.1 General Considerations	12-1
12.2 Comprehensive Evaluations and Performance Standards	12-1
12.2.1 Hydro Operations Juvenile Performance Standard for Comprehensive Evaluations	12-2
12.2.2 Hydro Operations Adult Performance Standard for Comprehensive Evaluations	12-2
12.2.3 Non-Hydro Performance Standards	12-2
12.4 Supplemental Consultations	12-4
13.0 LITERATURE CITED	13-1

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A. GEOGRAPHIC EXTENT OF DESIGNATED CRITICAL HABITAT
- APPENDIX B. ESU MAPS
- APPENDIX C. HARVEST RATES
- APPENDIX D. ANALYTICAL APPROACH, METHODS, AND BIOLOGICAL OPINION
GAP ANALYSIS SURVIVAL RESULTS FOR THE SNAKE RIVER AND
UPPER COLUMBIA RIVER LISTED SALMON AND STEELHEAD ESUs
- APPENDIX E. HABITAT IMPROVEMENTS AS OFFSITE MITIGATION FOR FCRPS
OPERATIONS: A QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT
- APPENDIX F. ARTIFICIAL PROPAGATION POTENTIAL AS NON-HYDRO OFFSET
FOR FCRPS OPERATIONS: A SUMMARY ASSESSMENT
- APPENDIX G. RESEARCH/MONITORING ACTIONS PURSUANT TO THE 2004
FCRPS BIOLOGICAL OPINION

LIST OF TABLES

Table #

1.1	USBR projects in the Columbia River Basin under consultation in this Biological Opinion	1-4
2.1	Consultation and conferencing with representatives of state and tribal governments on developments of the Draft 2004 FCRPS Biological Opinion	2-4
5.1	Estimated average juvenile survival rates over 1994-2003 study period through the FCRPS under the reference operation and free-flowing river conditions.....	5-30
5.2	Estimated minimum adult survival and unaccounted loss from 2000 through 2002 through the FCRPS (Bonneville Dam tailrace through John Day, Lower Granite, Priest Rapids dams)	5-33
5.3	Expected harvest rates to listed salmonids in winter, spring, and summer season fisheries in the mainstem Columbia River and in tributary recreational fisheries under the 2001 - 2005 Spring Agreement in U.S. v Oregon.	5-49
5.4	Expected harvest rates to listed salmonids in fall season fisheries in the mainstem Columbia River under the 2004 Fall Agreement in U.S. v Oregon.	5-50
5.5	Estimates of in-river survival for juvenile MCR steelhead	5-85
6.1.	Qualitative Categories for Potential Improvements in VSP Characteristics	6-4
6.2a.	Hypothetical examples described in terms of absolute and relative percentages	6-6
6.2b.	The multiplicative effect of salmonid survival through different life stages.....	6-6
6.3	Simulated average seasonal flows (and flow ranges) in thousand cubic feet per second (kcfs) for reference and proposed action operations during spring and summer time periods relevant to migrating juvenile salmon and steelhead for the years 1994 through 2003	6-10
6.4	Estimated minimum adult survival and unaccounted loss from 2000 through 2002 through the FCRPS (Bonneville Dam tailrace through John Day, Lower Granite, Priest Rapids dams)	6-20
6.5	Estimated Average Juvenile and Adult Survival Rates over 1994-2003 Water Years through the FCRPS under the 2004 Proposed Hydro Operation.....	6-30
6.6	Estimated Average Juvenile and Adult Survival Rates over 1994-2003 Water Years through the FCRPS under the 2010-2014 Proposed Hydro Operation	6-31
6.7	Estimated Average Juvenile and Adult Survival Rates over 1994-2003 Water Years through the FCRPS under the 2010-2014 Proposed Hydro Operation	6-32
6.8	Summary of effects of proposed hydro operations and 2004 system configuration on listed ESUs.....	6-33
6.9	Summary of effects of discretionary hydro operations and expected 2010 system configuration improvements on listed ESUs	6-41
6.10	Summary of effects of discretionary hydro operations and expected 2010 system configuration improvements on listed ESUs	6-49
6.11	Assessment of net effect of August 30, 2004, Amended Proposed Action for most significant components. Safety-net programs reduce short-term risk of extinction for several ESUs	6-69
6.12	Proposed Action, Upper Columbia Spring Chinook, Wenatchee, Entiat, and Methow Subbasin	6-94

Table #

6.13	Proposed Action, Upper Columbia Steelhead, Wenatchee, Entiat, and Methow Subbasin.....	6-113
6.14	Proposed Conservation Measure, John Day Populations of Mid Columbia Steelhead, North Fork John Day, Middle Fork John Day, and Upper Mainstem John Day including the South Fork John Day Subbasins.....	6-121
7.1	Expected harvest rates for listed Snake River spring/summer chinook salmon in Idaho recreational fisheries.....	7-12
7.2	Authorized annual take level of ESA-listed species as a result of recreational fisheries implemented by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife in the Columbia River Basin upstream of Priest Rapids Dam, 2000-2004	7-13
7.3	Proportional natural-origin UCR steelhead mortality take limit for recreational harvest fisheries in the Wenatchee River, Methow River, and Okanogan River basin tributary areas by run size	7-14
8.1	Summary of conclusions.....	8-4
10.1	Quantitative estimates of incidental take of juvenile salmonids migrating past FCRPS projects resulting from the proposed FCRPS actions	10-2
10.2	Estimates of incidental take of adult salmonids resulting from the proposed FCRPS hydro operations	10-3
10.3.	Quantitative estimates of total FCRPS passage mortality of juvenile salmonids migrating past FCRPS projects resulting from a combination of the proposed hydro operations, which include discretionary and non-discretionary operations and the existence of the dams	10-4
10.4.	Estimates of total FCRPS mortality of adult salmonids resulting from a combination of the proposed hydro operations, which include discretionary and non-discretionary operations and the existence of the dams	10-5
10.5	Annual 2003 handling mortality and tagging associated with the monitoring and evaluation program for the characteristics of the various salmon and steelhead stocks in the Columbia and Snake River basins and to provide management information for implementing flow and spill measures designed to improve fish passage conditions in the mainstem lower Snake and Columbia rivers.	10-6
11.1	Fish Species with EFH in the Columbia River Channel Improvement Project Action Areas (including the Deep Water Site	11.3

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

1.1	Map of the major dams in the Columbia River basin, including major facilities that make up the Federal Columbia River Power System	1-2
5.1	The action area for this consultation.....	5-4
5.2	Mean monthly Columbia River discharge (cfs) at Bonneville Dam under pre-development natural flows and under the reference operation	5-22
5.3	Mean monthly Columbia River discharge (cfs) at McNary Dam under pre-development natural flows and under the reference operation	5-23
5.4	Mean monthly Snake River discharge (cfs) at Lower Granite Dam under pre-development natural flows and under the reference operation	5-24
6.1	Mean monthly Snake River discharge (cfs) at Lower Granite Dam under the proposed action and under the reference operation.....	6-11
6.2	Mean monthly Columbia River discharge (cfs) at McNary Dam under the proposed action, and under the reference operation.....	6-12
6.3	Mean monthly Columbia River discharge (cfs) at Bonneville Dam under the proposed action, and under the reference operation.....	6-13

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Action Agencies	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the Bonneville Power Administration
AFEP	anadromous fish evaluation program
AFF	adult fish facilities
amsl	above mean sea level
B.C.	British Columbia
BIA	Bureau of Indian Affairs
BiOp	Biological Opinion
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMPs	Best Management Practices
BON	Bonneville Dam
BPA	Bonneville Power Administration
BRT	Biological Review Team (NOAA Fisheries)
CBFWA	Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cfs	cubic feet per second
CHARTs	critical habitat analytical review teams
CI	confidence interval
Comanagers	States and Tribes of the Columbia River Basin
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
CR	Columbia River
CRB	Columbia River Basin
CREP	Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program
CRFMP	Columbia River Fishery Management Plan
CTUIR	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation
CTWSRO	Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon
CTWS	Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWMS	Corps Water Management System (database)
CWT	coded-wire tag
D	differential delayed survival of transported fish
DART	Data Access in Real Time (University of Washington program)
DDT	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
DIP	demographically independent population
DNR	see WA DNR
EDT	ecosystem diagnosis and treatment
EEZ	Exclusive Economic Zone
EF	east fork
EFH	essential fish habitat
EIP	Ecological Improvement Potential
EIS	environmental impact statement
ENSO	El Niño Southern Oscillation

ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESBS	extended-length submersible bar screen
EST	Columbia River estuary
ESU	evolutionary significant unit
FCRPS	Federal Columbia River Power System
FFDRWG	Fish Facility Design Review Work Group
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FGE	fish guidance efficiency
FMEP	Fisheries Management and Evaluation Plan
FPE	fish passage efficiency
FPOM	Fish Passage Operations and Maintenance Coordination Team
FR	Federal Regulation
FRN	Federal Regulation Notice
FS	Forest Service
GBT	gas bubble trauma
GDU	genetic diversity unit
H	High (see Table 6.1 for description)
HCD	Habitat Conservation Division
HCP	Habitat Conservation Plan
HCY	Hell's Canyon
HGMP	hatchery and genetic management plan
HIP	Habitat Improvement Program
HSRG	Hatchery Scientific Review Group
HUC	Hydrological Unit Code
I-205	Interstate Highway 205
I-5	Interstate Highway 5
ICB-TRT	Interior Columbia Basin Technical Recovery Team
IDFG	Idaho Department of Fish and Game
IDL	Idaho Department of Lands
IHR	Ice Harbor Dam
Interior TRT	Interior Technical Recovery Team
IPER	Implementation Plan Evaluation Report
ISS	Idaho Supplementation Studies
JDA	John Day Dam
kcf s	thousand cubic feet per second
km ²	square kilometers
ksfd	Thousand cubic feet per second days
L	Low (see Table 6.1 for description)
LCFRB	Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board of the NWPCC
LCR	Lower Columbia River
LGO	Little Goose Dam
LGR	Lower Granite Dam
L-M	Low to Medium (see Table 6.1 for description)
LMN	Lower Monumental Dam

LSRCP	Lower Snake River Compensation Plan
LWD	large woody debris
Maf	million acre-feet
MCN	McNary Dam
MCR	Mid-Columbia River
MFJD	Middle Fork John Day
MHHW	mean higher high water level
mi/mi ²	miles per square mile
MIP	minimum irrigation pool
MOP	minimum operating pool
MPG	major population group
MSA	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
NF	north fork
NFH	National Fish Hatcheries
NFJDR	North Fork John Day River
ng/g	nanograms per gram
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA	National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration
NPMP	Northern Pikeminnow Management Program
NRC	National Research Council
NWF	National Wildlife Federation
NWPCC	Northwest Power and Conservation Council
NWPPC	Northwest Power Planning Council
ODEQ	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
ODFW	Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
OWRD	Oregon Water Resources Department
PAH	polyaromatic hydrocarbons
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PCSRF	Pacific Coast Salmon Recovery Fund
PCTS	Public Consultation Tracking System (database)
PDO	Pacific Decadal Oscillation
PECE	“Policy for Evaluation of Conservation Efforts When Making Listing Decisions”
PFMC	Pacific Fishery Management Council
PGE	Portland General Electric
PIT	passive integrated transponder
POD	point of diversion
ppt	Parts per thousand
PUD	Public Utility District
R/S	recruits per spawner
RHCA	riparian habitat conservation area
Rkm	river kilometer
RM	river mile
RM&E	Research, Monitoring, and Evaluation
ROD	Record of Decision
RPA	Reasonable and Prudent Alternative

RPMs	reasonable and prudent measures
RSW	removable spillway weir
SAR	smolt-to-adult return rate
SASSI	Salmon and Steelhead Stock Inventory
SbyC	separated-by-code
SCT	System Configuration Team
SEF	East Fork Salmon River
SF	south fork
SFJD	South Fork John Day
SIMPAS	simulated passage (model)
SR	Snake River
SRPAH	Pahsimeroi River
SRS	sediment retention structure
SRUMA	Salmon River-Upper Mainstem
SRYFS	Salmon River-Yankee Fork
STS	submersible traveling screen
SWHA	shallow water habitat area
SWCD	Soil and Water Conservation District
SYSTDG	System Total Dissolved Gas (TDG) Model
T&C	terms and conditions
TDA	The Dalles Dam
TDG	total dissolved gas
TERP	Tower Ecosystem Restoration Projects
TMDL	total maximum daily load
TMT	Technical Management Team
TRT	Technical Recovery Team
UCM	Unit Characteristic Method
UCR	Upper Columbia River
UCUT	Upper Columbia United Tribes
UNF	Umatilla National Forest
UPA	Updated Proposed Action
URC	upper rule curve
USBR	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USDA	U. S. Department of Agriculture
USFS	U.S. Forest Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
USRC	Upper Salmon River at Challis Project
USRITAT	Upper Salmon River Interagency Technical Advisory Team
UWR	Upper Willamette River
VARQ	variable (VAR) outflow (Q)
VH	Very High (see Table 6.1 for description)
VL	Very Low (see Table 6.1 for description)
VSP	viable salmonid population
W/LC TRT	Willamette/Lower Columbia TRT

Biological Opinion on Remand

WA DNR	Washington Department of Natural Resources
WCS BRT	West Coast Salmon Biological Review Team
WDF	Washington Department of Fisheries
WDFW	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
WF	west fork
WQT	Water Quality Team
WRIA	water resource inventory area
YN	Yakama Nation